

Health goal priming as a situated intervention tool: How to benefit from nonconscious
motivational routes to health behavior

Esther K. Papies

*Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom; Dept. of
Psychology, Utrecht University, The Netherlands*

April 16, 2016

This paper is currently in press at Health Psychology Review.

Address correspondence to: Esther K. Papies, Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology,
University of Glasgow, 58 Hillhead Street, Glasgow G12 8QB, United Kingdom,
esther.papies@glasgow.ac.uk.

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment: I would like to thank Lawrence W. Barsalou, Paschal Sheeran, and
Benjamin Gardner for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Abstract

Recent research has shown the limited effects of intentions on behavior, so that novel methods to facilitate behavior change are needed that do not rely on conscious intentions. Here, it is argued that nonintentional effects on health behavior, such as the effects of habits, impulses, and nonconscious goals, occur through the activation of cognitive structures by specific situations. Interventions should therefore be situated to change these effects, either by changing the critical cognitive structures (training interventions), or by changing which cognitive structures get activated (cueing interventions). The current article presents this framework for situated interventions, as well as examples of interventions of each type. Then, it introduces goal priming as a cueing intervention tool to activate health goals and thus facilitate healthier behavior, even in tempting situations that typically activate short-term hedonic goals. Following a review of empirical evidence, five principles for the effective application of health goal primes are proposed, namely 1) to target individuals who value the primed goals, 2) by activating their specific motivation 3) through effective cues 4) that attract attention at the right time. Finally, 5) an effective goal-directed behavior needs to be known and accessible to the primed individual. These principles are illustrated with examples of different health behaviors in order to facilitate their application for successful behavior change.

keywords: behavior change, priming, nudging, intervention, self-regulation, nonconscious processes

The past two decades have seen a substantial shift in our understanding of how health behavior is regulated. For a long time, it was assumed that our health behavior is determined by conscious intentions, for example the intention to perform certain behaviors for their health benefits and to refrain from other behaviors because of their negative health consequences (e.g., Fishbein & Ajzen, 2011; Janz & Becker, 1984; Rogers & Prentice-Dunn, 1997). More recently, however, it has become clear that much of our actions are regulated by nonconscious and nonintentional processes. More often than not, the result of these processes is that the healthy intentions that one has consciously formed are not translated into behavior, typically because the situation presents a tempting alternative. As a result, interventions that rely on conscious intentions for health behavior are limited in their effectiveness. While our understanding of the nonconscious processes guiding behavior has strongly increased over the past decades, most of these insights have not been translated into effective intervention tools. The current paper therefore offers a theoretical framework for developing such tools based on recent insights in nonconscious behavior regulation and situated cognition, and it discusses existing intervention tools within that framework. It then offers goal priming as a situated strategy to facilitate goal pursuit without the need for conscious awareness, and thus to effectively use nonconscious motivational routes toward healthier behavior.

The role of conscious intentions in health behavior

Conscious intentions have traditionally played a strong role in social psychology and in health interventions that rely on social psychological principles. Theories like the health belief model, protection motivation theory, the theory of planned behavior, and the transtheoretical model, have all inspired great amounts of research as well as numerous health behavior interventions. Indeed, deliberate processes such as intentions are certainly important in trying to understand whether someone will attempt to stop smoking, join Weight Watchers, or buy running shoes in order to exercise more. More recently, however, intentions have been shown to be mainly effective if the behavior and the circumstances are not conducive to habit

formation, if the behavior is performed under low cognitive and emotional load, and for people with high working memory capacity who are not under the influence of alcohol (e.g., Hofmann, Friese, & Wiers, 2008; Ouellette & Wood, 1998). Clearly, these conditions are typically not fulfilled in many domains where health behavior change is desirable, such as eating behavior, alcohol consumption, or physical activity. As a result, researchers have increasingly called for a paradigm shift in the area of health behavior change, including the request to “retire” the theory of planned behavior (Sniehotta, Premeau, & Araújo-Soares, 2014) and to increase the focus on automatic processes (e.g., Hollands, Marteau, & Fletcher, 2016; Marteau, Hollands, & Fletcher, 2012; Sheeran, Gollwitzer, & Bargh, 2013).

A recent meta-analysis of experimental studies of the intention-behavior link (Webb & Sheeran, 2006) has provided important insights into the strength and conditions under which intentions lead to behavior. Across the 47 studies included, successful interventions had a medium to large effect on intentions but only small to medium effect on behavior, suggesting that not all of participants’ good intentions were actually executed. In addition, while changes in intention due to the interventions mediated the effect of interventions on behavior, this mediation was only partial, suggesting that interventions also affected behavior in ways that were independent of conscious intentions. This finding, too, points to significant nonintentional influences on behavior. Finally, the meta-analysis identified several important conceptual moderators and showed that interventions designed to change behavior through intentions were less successful if participants had relatively low levels of control over their behavior, if the behavior in question was susceptible to habit formation, and if it concerned risky behaviors performed in a social context. These findings suggest that while intentions have important effects on behavior, their influence is limited by nonconscious processes that are particularly important in health behavior, such as habits and impulses, which are hard to control and which are triggered by specific situations in one’s daily life.

Interventions for health behavior change may be most effective if they take these effects into account and successfully change the cognitive processes triggered by such situations, thus, if they are situated (see Papies, 2016). The current paper will present a framework for situated interventions and will apply the notion of situating to the intervention tool of goal priming. To this end, it will first present an analysis of the processes underlying the effects of situational cues on behavior. Then, two types of situated interventions will be suggested to change these processes, specifically training interventions and cueing interventions. Goal priming will be introduced as an example of a cueing intervention, together with five principles on how to implement goal priming to most effectively activate a long-term health goal by situational cues. This way, it will be shown that by taking into account situated cognitive processes, goal priming can change the cognitions and behaviors that a health-relevant situation triggers, and thus lead to healthy goal pursuit.

Mechanisms of nonconscious influences on health behavior

The pattern of findings suggesting that situational cues play a crucial role in health behavior is consistent with research on automatic self-regulation in psychology more generally (e.g., Bargh & Morsella, 2008; Barsalou, 2009; Dijksterhuis, Smith, van Baaren, & Wigboldus, 2005; Papies & Aarts, 2016). Most relevant to health behaviour, cues from the environment have been shown to affect behaviour by activating habits (for a review, see Wood & Neal, 2007), or by triggering impulses or goals (for reviews, see Hofmann et al., 2008; Papies & Aarts, 2016; Papies & Barsalou, 2015b; Stroebe, van Koningsbruggen, Papies, & Aarts, 2013). Importantly, this suggests that such cues do not affect behaviour directly, but rather by activating cognitive structures that have been formed by previous experiences in similar situations, and which in turn affect behaviour (Papies & Barsalou, 2015; see also Strack & Deutsch, 2004). I will now discuss these structures in more detail, before addressing implications for developing interventions to change the effects of situational cues.

Habits have been described as associations between specific situational cues and actions, which individuals form by repeatedly performing a goal-directed behaviour in the same context (Aarts & Dijksterhuis, 2000; Gardner, 2015; Ouellette & Wood, 1998). *Impulses* are typically conceived as immediate approach responses toward rewarding stimuli (Hofmann et al., 2008). *Goals* have been defined as behaviours or end states that are associated with reward, and which are supported by knowledge structures that include situational information and goal-directed means (Custers & Aarts, 2010; Papies & Aarts, 2016).

In line with Barsalou (2009, 2015) and Papies and Barsalou (2015), the cognitive structures underlying habits, impulses, and goals, can be described as *situated conceptualizations* of health behaviours that have been stored in memory during previous experiences. As people perform health-relevant behaviours, such as eating, drinking, or exercising, they store comprehensive representations of these experiences and their context in memory. Such representations may include, for example, information about one's own actions, about one's cognitive, affective, and interoceptive states, about the goals one is pursuing in the situation, and contextual information about space, people, and objects present (Barsalou, 2009). When eating chips on the sofa, for example, while watching a movie with friends on a weekend night, one may store a representation of eating chips that includes sensory information about taste and texture of the food, as well as information about the room, one's friends, and feelings of reward. Similarly, during grocery shopping, one may store a representation of a shopping experience that includes moving through the store while pushing one's cart, grabbing items from a shelf, patting one's pockets for the grocery list, and the goal of buying tasty foods for one's family. As one performs a behaviour repeatedly with similar goals and in the same context, the stored representation will become more and more entrenched in memory.

Once such a situated conceptualization has been stored, activating any of its element on later occasions can re-activate its other elements, with those elements then more likely to be enacted. In other words, an action previously performed in a certain context can be triggered

automatically by a contextual cue, leading to nonconscious effects on behaviour (for more detailed accounts, see Barsalou, 2009; Papies & Barsalou, 2015). Research on habits, for example, has shown that priming social drinkers with cues of socializing can trigger thoughts about drinking, as well as alcohol choices (Sheeran et al., 2005). Similarly, work on desire and goals has shown that reading about or seeing chips triggers thoughts about their taste and texture, of eating and enjoying them, and of watching movies with one's friends (Papies, 2013). Such a cue can also inhibit the competing goal of dieting (Fishbach, Friedman, & Kruglanski, 2003; Papies, Stroebe, & Aarts, 2008a; Stroebe, Mensink, Aarts, Schut, & Kruglanski, 2008), and it increases salivation and the desire to eat (Keesman, Papies, Vermeent, Aarts, & Hafner, 2015), which can lead, for example, to impulsive eating behaviour (see also Hofmann et al., 2008). As this brief analysis has shown, cognitive structures, which are here referred to as situated conceptualizations, mediate the effects of situational cues on health behaviour, and this can explain the effects of habits, impulses and goals.

The idea of situated conceptualizations affecting behaviour may be a useful framework for developing situated interventions to improve the nonconscious regulation of health behaviour. This framework is displayed in Figure 1. Specifically, interventions can either attempt to *modify the existing situated conceptualizations*, or cognitive structures, that underlie people's nonconscious health behaviour, so that these new, healthier situated conceptualizations get activated in health-relevant situations and guide behaviour. As most of these types of interventions involve a form of training, I will refer to these interventions as *training interventions*. Alternatively, interventions can attempt to *modify features of critical situations* such that they change which of a person's existing situated conceptualizations get activated by situational cues, leading to healthier behaviour as a result. As most of these types of interventions involve changing salient cues, I will refer to these interventions as *cueing interventions*. In sum, these two situated intervention strategies differ by whether they use

training to change cognitive structures, or use cueing to change which situational cues affect behaviour (see also Papies & Barsalou, 2015).

Interventions to affect nonconscious regulation of health behavior

Training interventions

Research over the past years has made tremendous progress in developing intervention tools to change the cognitive structures underlying health behavior. Traditionally, researchers have focused on rather explicit ways of changing cognitive structures, for example by health education or fear appeals. However, such strategies have been shown to affect intentions rather than behavior, suggesting that they do not change the nonconscious regulatory processes which rely for example on habits, impulses and nonconscious goals and that typically have a strong effect on health behavior. Within the framework outlined here, I suggest that these intervention strategies may not change individuals' situated conceptualizations of behavior that are activated by situational cues, and therefore do not affect nonconscious behavior regulation.

A similarly explicit approach with more promising results is planning, for example by so-called implementation intentions (Gollwitzer & Brandstätter, 1997). Here, individuals make specific plans for how to behave in response to a specific cue in a health-relevant situation in order to pursue a certain health goal. This strategy has shown to affect automatic responses to the specified cues as well, as automatic evaluations (Hofmann, Deutsch, Lancaster, & Banaji, 2010) and behavior (Gollwitzer & Sheeran, 2006), by committing a vivid image of one's planned behavior in the specified situation to memory (Papies, Aarts, & de Vries, 2009). Consistent with the framework suggested here, this suggests that specific, situated planning can change the situated cognitive structures that underlie nonconscious behavior regulation, insofar as it associates a new, healthy behavior with the specified cue and as a result changes the representation that is likely to be activated by the context. Importantly, it is possible that existing cue-behavior associations remain intact and are merely temporarily inhibited, rather than extinguished, when implementation intentions for new behaviors are formed (see

Adriaanse, Gollwitzer, De Ridder, de Wit, & Kroese, 2011; Holland, Aarts, & Langendam, 2006; Lally & Gardner, 2013). Whether this affects long-term behavior change remains to be determined.

More recently, another type of intervention approaches has been developed to change automatic responses to tempting cues, mostly by computerized training. Procedures such as attentional bias modification (e.g., Schoenmakers et al., 2010), approach-avoidance retraining (e.g., Wiers, Rinck, Kordts, Houben, & Strack, 2010), and inhibitory control training (e.g., Veling, Aarts, & Papies, 2011) are all designed to provide easily accessible and effortless ways to reduce the automatic approach impulses that are triggered by tempting stimuli and that have been learned through previous, rewarding interactions. A number of research programs have now shown that such training procedures can reduce the likelihood that tempting stimuli trigger attentional bias and behavioral approach responses, and thus have beneficial effects for health behaviour (e.g., Veling, van Koningsbruggen, Aarts, & Stroebe, 2014; Wiers, Eberl, Rinck, Becker, & Lindenmeyer, 2011; Wiers, Gladwin, Hofmann, Salemink, & Ridderinkhof, 2013). Other approaches, for example based on mindfulness or on evaluative conditioning, have targeted affective or reward responses to tempting stimuli in order to change behavioral responses. Although less research has been done in this domain, these procedures have shown similar effects for reducing approach bias to tempting stimuli, as well as desire, actual choices, and consumption behavior (e.g., Hollands, Prestwich, & Marteau, 2011; Jenkins & Tapper, 2014; Lacaille et al., 2014; Papies, Barsalou, & Custers, 2012; Papies, Pronk, Keesman, & Barsalou, 2015).

While such training interventions targeting cognitive structures have shown important benefits for health behavior, they all require one-on-one interactions, for example for planning, learning mindfulness-based techniques, or completing a re-training procedure. As a result, these intervention tools are not easily scalable and are limited in their applicability to larger populations. Therefore, we will now turn to cueing interventions which attempt to change

which cognitive structures get activated by specific situations and might be more suitable for larger scale applications.

Cueing interventions

An alternative approach to affecting the nonconscious regulation of health behavior is to change features of the environment in order to influence which situated conceptualizations, or cognitive structures, get activated by situational cues. Our current living environments tend to expose us to cues that activate short-term *hedonic goals*, such as indulging in tempting food or drink that provide immediate pleasure. This is often at the cost of long-term *investment goals*, such as watching one's weight or controlling one's alcohol intake for the sake of one's health later in life. Indeed, the exposure to a tempting stimulus is likely to trigger simulations of consuming and enjoying it (Keesman et al., 2015; Papies, 2013; Simmons, Martin, & Barsalou, 2005), making thoughts of a competing long-term health goal increasingly unlikely (Papies et al., 2008a; Stroebe et al., 2013). In order to improve the nonconscious regulation of health behavior, situational cues thus need to activate situated conceptualizations of long-term investment goals, rather than of short-term hedonic goals only. Recent work has suggested that this can be achieved by goal priming.

Health goal priming. Priming refers to the activation of a mental representation by external cues, thus affecting information processing and possibly behavior (Bargh & Chartrand, 2000). Goal priming refers to the case where the concept activated by the external cue is a goal, and the prime affects behavior in an attempt to pursue the primed goal (Custers & Aarts, 2005, 2010). A goal is defined as a state or behavior that has reward value and therefore motivates a person to pursue it, leading for example to instrumental behaviors, to the inhibition of competing behaviors, and to persistent efforts to complete a goal after interruptions (Custers & Aarts, 2005; Hart & Albarracín, 2009; Shah, Friedman, & Kruglanski, 2002). Importantly, once a goal has been activated by external cues, it can be pursued without awareness of the cue, the goal, and the processes affecting behavior, and without conscious intentions to perform

the behavior. In sum, goal priming can translate an existing motivation into behavior through nonconscious processes of self-regulation (for detailed reviews of the mechanisms of nonconscious goal pursuit, see Custers & Aarts, 2010; Papies & Aarts, 2016).

Goal priming effects have been shown in a variety of domains. Early research has shown that activating the goal of impression formation leads to better memory organization and recall of information about another person, compared to a mere memorization goal. This effect occurred without participants being aware of the primes or their effects on their behavior, and thus without participants forming conscious intentions to memorize information in a specific way (Chartrand & Bargh, 1996). More recently, priming the concept of drinking outside of conscious awareness has been found to increase motivation to drink and to increase soda consumption, but only when participants are fluid-deprived and thus have a goal to drink (Veltkamp, Aarts, & Custers, 2008). Similarly, priming “achievement” has been shown to increase motivation and effort at difficult tasks, but only among achievement-motivated individuals, and again without participants being aware of the briefly presented primes influencing their behavior (Hart & Albarracín, 2009). Priming with religious concepts has been shown to increase prosocial behavior, but only if the primes refer to rewarding aspects of religion (e.g., “heaven”) and not if they refer to more neutral aspects (e.g., “hymn”; Harrell, 2012). In addition, a recent meta-analysis in this domain confirmed this religious priming effect, but only among those participants who strongly value the primed concept, i.e., who are religious (Shariff, Willard, Andersen, & Norenzayan, 2016).

A meta-analysis synthesizing the priming literature across domains confirmed that the exposure to goal-related words can indeed trigger motivated behavior (Weingarten et al., 2015). Specifically, this meta-analysis showed that priming effects were stronger and more persistent over time if the primed concepts were indeed strongly valued by the individuals. In addition, the effect was shown to be robust, with little evidence for publication bias. A number of the included studies were conducted in the domains of health and consumption behavior,

and these showed slightly larger effect sizes than other priming studies. Together, these findings suggest that presenting health-related cues might positively influence health behavior, among individuals who value the primed concepts.

A number of studies have specifically assessed the effects of health primes on cognition and behavior relevant to health. I will discuss these next. Without aiming to provide an exhaustive review of the literature, I will first focus on laboratory studies, as these are informative for demonstrating the phenomenon and some of the underlying mechanisms. Then, field experiments utilizing health goal primes will be discussed, as these are informative for using primes as real-life intervention tools. In both types of studies, the work so far has almost exclusively been done in the domain of eating behavior, but other domains will be addressed where appropriate.

In the laboratory. Controlled laboratory experiments have demonstrated that the exposure to health-related cues can affect cognitive processes relevant to health behavior. Fishbach and colleagues, for example, have shown that exposing diet-concerned individuals to diet-relevant cues activates thoughts about weight and dieting, and accordingly increases choices of healthy foods (Fishbach et al., 2003). In addition, priming participants with goals that they strive for, including health goals, inhibits thoughts about temptations that would interfere with their pursuit (Fishbach et al., 2003; see also Shah et al., 2002). Later work demonstrated that subtly exposing chronic dieters to diet-related words can decrease their attention to words denoting tempting, diet-incongruent foods (Papies, Stroebe, & Aarts, 2008b). A recent eye-tracking study showed that health primes increased the time participants spent looking at healthy foods, and this in turn predicted their healthy choices (van der Laan, Papies, Hooge, & Smeets, 2016). Thus, health goal primes may work by activating participants' health motivation and directing attention to healthy stimuli in their environment, at the expense of desire for unhealthy temptations (see Papies & Aarts, 2016, for a more detailed discussion; see also Stroebe et al., 2013).

Further experiments have extended these findings to actual behavior. Seeing or consuming healthy foods has been shown to reduce intake of unhealthy foods, mostly among dieters (Buckland, Finlayson, Edge, & Hetherington, 2014; Buckland, Finlayson, & Hetherington, 2013a, 2013b). Similarly, health primes such as dieting advertisements, compared to control or indulgent food advertisements, can prevent overeating on unhealthy foods, again especially among dieters, and especially in the afternoon (Anschutz, Van Strien, & Engels, 2011; Boland, Connell, & Vallen, 2013; Versluis & Papies, 2016). Other weight-related cues, such as the presence of scales or very slim sculptures, have been found to prevent participants from modeling the overeating on unhealthy foods displayed by a confederate, and to reduce unhealthy consumption more generally (Brunner, 2010; Brunner & Siegrist, 2012). Finally, a study in the domain of physical activity has shown that the exposure to words related to physical activity can boost the choice of using the stairs over the elevator at the next opportunity (Wryobeck & Chen, 2003). Together, these laboratory experiments, mostly in the domain of eating behavior, suggest that exposure to health-related cues can activate health goals and trigger health-oriented behavior.

In the field. Research on health priming in field settings is rather scarce compared to laboratory settings, but the findings are consistent with the principles demonstrated by laboratory experiments. One of the first studies in this area was conducted by Papies and Hamstra (2010) and took place in a butcher's store. In the priming condition, a poster announcing a low-calorie recipe was mounted on the inside of the glass door to the store, and included words like "slim figure", "extra slim", and "weight". In the control condition, this poster was absent. While customers were being served, they could sample free meat snacks from a tray on the counter. The number of snacks consumed was recorded unobtrusively. Afterwards, participants completed a brief questionnaire that among others, assessed their dieting motivation (Herman & Polivy, 1980). Results showed that chronic dieters who had been primed with the goal of healthy eating and dieting by means of the poster consumed fewer

snacks than dieters in the control condition, whereas non-dieters were not affected by the prime. This finding was later replicated conceptually in a restaurant, where the primes were integrated into the menu. Again, dieters made more healthy menu choices (e.g., ordering a salad instead of a burger) in the priming condition, whereas non-dieters were not affected (Papies & Veling, 2013). In a recent study with a similar set-up, a poster priming health or slimness next to a vending machine increased the sales of healthy items (Stöckli, Stämpfli, Messner, & Brunner, 2016). Here, no individual behavior was observed, so that it is unclear whether the healthy choices were primarily made by diet-concerned individuals or whether they were independent of participants' health and dieting motivation.

A field experiment in a grocery store, finally, has demonstrated that health primes can also affect grocery shopping and therefore, likely impact eating behavior at home and across more than one instance. Here, overweight and normal-weight participants received a recipe flyer upon entering the grocery store. As an experimental manipulation, this flyer was either supplemented with health- and dieting-related words or not. After doing their groceries as usual, participants completed a brief questionnaire, while a photograph of their grocery receipt was taken. Analyses of participants' purchases showed that while overweight customers bought more unhealthy snacks in the control condition than normal-weight participants, these purchases were strongly reduced by the health prime. Normal-weight participants were not affected by the prime (Papies, Potjes, Keesman, Schwinghammer, & van Koningsbruggen, 2014).

This field experiment further tested the roles of attention and awareness during health goal priming. Importantly, results showed that consciously thinking about the prime during shopping did not moderate its effectiveness (Papies et al., 2014). Specifically, participants were more likely to think about the recipe flyer while they were shopping when it contained health and diet primes, and especially when they were overweight. But whether they thought about it or not, did not affect the effectiveness of the prime. In other words, even those

overweight participants who had not looked at the flyer again or thought about it, bought fewer unhealthy snacks when they had received a health prime flyer while entering the store.

At the same time, the prime only worked if participants reported that they had looked at the recipe flyer when they first received it. Clearly, some degree of initial attention was necessary for goal priming effects to occur. In addition, the impact of the prime was not moderated by the duration of the shopping episode. This is in line with theorizing on the role of motivational value in cognition, which suggests that in contrast to concepts without reward value, goal representations can be maintained active over longer periods of time, probably in order to detect and act on suitable opportunities for goal pursuit as they arise (Weingarten et al., 2015). Thus, health goal primes may benefit from such motivational effects and affect behavior even across extended periods. Future research should establish the temporal constraints of goal primes in field settings more directly. Importantly, however, the work so far suggests that health goal primes can help individuals to translate their motivation for health goals into actual behavior, without relying on conscious awareness and even in busy daily-life settings.

Other cueing interventions

A number of other interventions affecting health behavior can be identified as cueing interventions in that they change situational cues in order to affect which situated conceptualizations are activated and thus change behavior. A comprehensive discussion of these interventions is beyond the scope of the current paper. I will focus on interventions that change the salience of behavioral options, as these are well-documented, powerful cueing interventions affecting the nonconscious regulation of health behavior (see Hollands et al., 2013).

In the domain of eating behavior, portion sizes have typically been found to be strong cues for determining the consumption amount, so that individuals eat more from larger than from smaller portions, even if they are not hungry or the food is not particularly attractive (for

a meta-analysis, see Hollands et al., 2015). Research on how to prevent such effects has shown that presenting foods in smaller units, or presenting alternative serving size cues, can reduce intake from large portions, presumably by making the appropriateness of smaller servings more salient (Geier, Rozin, & Doros, 2006; Kerameas, Vartanian, Peter, & Polivy, 2015; Spanos, Kenda, & Vartanian, 2015; Versluis, Papies, & Marchiori, 2015). In addition, cueing of how much one has already consumed can curb overeating (Wansink & Payne, 2007). Less research is available for salience interventions in other domains of health behavior. One consistent finding in the domain of physical activity, however, is that increasing the salience of stair use by prompts can increase stair use over the use of the elevator (Lee et al., 2012; Soler et al., 2010). In addition, work on alcohol taxation has shown that increasing the salience of tax paid on alcoholic drinks reduces consumption (e.g., Chetty, Looney, & Kroft, 2007; Hanson & Sullivan, 2015).

Product placement is another powerful cueing intervention, often discussed in the context of “nudging” healthy choices (see Marteau, Ogilvie, Roland, Suhrcke, & Kelly, 2011). Placing more vegetables on a buffet increases vegetable intake (Bucher, Siegrist, & van der Horst, 2014; Bucher, van der Horst, & Siegrist, 2011). Placing dishes near the top or bottom of a menu or placing snacks in the middle of a selection increases their choice (Dayan & Bar-Hillel, 2011; Keller, Markert, & Bucher, 2015), and making it difficult to serve oneself an item from a buffet decreases its choice, even when the food is attractive (Rozin et al., 2011). All of these interventions may work by activating different cognitive structures than in the control condition, such as thoughts about the benefits of eating vegetables, or of using the stairs, and thus influence health behavior. In contrast to goal priming interventions, however, their effects may be restricted to the immediate time and place where they are presented, except if they activate a long-term goal that supports goal pursuit even across a delay (Weingarten et al., 2015). Future research may address ways in which product placement can be used to systematically activate health goals and thus instigate motivated behavior in their pursuit.

Applying health goal primes

Based on the findings on health goal priming and on our insights into the underlying mechanism of nonconscious self-regulation, five principles will now be proposed for the effective application of health goal primes as an intervention tool. These principles and examples of their application are also summarized in Table 1. Situating a goal priming intervention this way should make it most effective for changing the cognitive responses triggered by health-relevant situations, and thus leading to healthier goal pursuit.

Target individuals who value the primed goals. Research on the mechanisms underlying nonconscious self-regulation has shown that goal primes work by activating states or behaviors that are associated with positive affect and which therefore mobilize cognitive and behavioral resources in support of goal pursuit (for a review, see Papies & Aarts, 2016). In other words, only when a person values a concept that is activated by a prime, such as healthy eating, will this lead to the motivational benefits that support goal pursuit, such as activating goal-relevant cognitions, directing attention towards goal-supporting stimuli, and avoiding stimuli that interfere with the goal. In line with this proposition, most studies on health goal priming find that effects of primes are moderated by participants' goal motivation. Priming effects are particularly pronounced among participants for whom the goal primes are relevant, for example because they are concerned about their weight or are motivated to live healthily (see Weingarten et al., 2015, for a meta-analysis supporting the moderating role of goal value). Thus, for a health goal prime to be effective, one should first identify a target group who values the goal and is motivated to change their health behavior. For an intervention to reduce alcohol consumption, for example, it should be clear who is interested in curbing drinking: is it college freshmen, young professionals, pensioners, or yet another group? If an individual is not motivated, such as a freshman who is more interested in developing a new social life than in protecting long-term health, priming the goal of reducing drinking is not going to be effective, as it will not activate the motivational support system needed for effective goal pursuit.

Tap into the right reasons. In order to activate individuals' resources necessary for goal pursuit, it is essential to know the specific motivation underlying a particular goal (see also Kok et al., 2015). When an individual performs a goal-directed behavior with a certain long-term outcome in mind, this will become part of the situated representation of the behavior (Barsalou, 2009; Papiés & Barsalou, 2015). As a result, activating it in a later situation can trigger goal-directed cognition and behavior. Perhaps intuitively following these principles, studies involving diet primes have typically referred to the critical long-term motivation of a slim figure, rather than, for example, the cardiovascular benefits of a healthy weight. Similarly applying this principle to an alcohol intervention, if young professionals are motivated to drink less in order to maintain their productivity at work, reminding them of this specific motivation is going to be more effective than reminding them of, for example, the carcinogenic effects of alcohol and of the health benefits of avoiding these. In many cases, applying this principle may mean that research into the specific motivation supporting a target group's goal striving may be necessary, before effective goal primes can be designed.

Use effective cues. The specific motivation supporting goal-directed behavior can best be activated by cues that a) are positive and that b) directly represent that motivation. Previous goal priming studies have effectively used images or sculptures of thin physiques, positive words denoting effects of healthy eating ("slim figure") or physical activity ("fit"), or advertisements featuring attractive scenes of healthy consumption or exercise behavior. Negatively valenced cues, even if they semantically represent the relevant concept (e.g., sweaty shoes after a workout), will less effectively activate goals (see Harrell, 2012) and situated conceptualizations of rewarding healthy behavior. Similarly, negative, avoidance-motivation cues that represent the opposite of the long-term motivation (e.g., images of a nauseating hangover on a Friday morning at one's workplace) are less likely to activate the reward-related motivational structures needed to support effective goal pursuit.

Attract attention at the right time. In order to affect behavior in field settings, goal primes need to compete with myriad other cues that attract attention at any given time. First of all, they need to be processed with attention in order to activate a goal to begin with (Dijksterhuis & Aarts, 2010; Papies et al., 2014). Therefore, primes in field settings most likely need to be more salient than the unobtrusive or even subliminal primes often used in laboratory studies. Then, even though active goal representations have been shown to affect behavior over extended periods in controlled laboratory settings (Weingarten et al., 2015), cognitive and motivational demands in field settings are likely much higher. Thus, a safe bet would be to present a prime close to the time that it needs to affect behavior through activating relevant cognitive structures. In a restaurant setting, for example, goal primes have been shown to be effective when they were integrated into the menu and could thus activate the conceptualization of the benefits of eating a healthier meal right at the time when participants were making a menu choice (Papies & Veling, 2013). In a similar fashion, goal priming interventions in other domains and settings need to identify the moment when participants make health-related decisions. It is conceivable, for example, that a young professional who is motivated to curb alcohol consumption needs to be reminded of this not when first entering the pub, but when deciding on a drink later in the evening. This could be while sitting at the table, when walking to the bar, or even in the restroom.

Again, research may be necessary to establish the precise time when the critical decision is made, before designing an effective, situated intervention. Once this has been identified, the prime needs to be presented in such a way that it captures attention and thus is processed by the target group (Papies et al., 2014), to indeed change the situated conceptualizations triggered in the situation. Both of these conditions are particularly critical in busy settings where a lot of competing demands on attentional resources are present, or when attentional resources are limited due to other factors like alcohol consumption.

Ensure that health goal pursuit is possible. Finally, it is essential that a motivated individual who has been primed to pursue a health goal actually has access to means of pursuing it. This may seem obvious, but cannot always be taken for granted. Two conditions need to be met to satisfy this criterion: the individual needs to know which behaviors, in the given situation, would serve the health goal, and those behaviors need to be accessible. In health goal priming studies so far, participants were assumed to be aware that salads are healthier restaurant options (Papies & Veling, 2013), that dieting is best pursued by eating less M&M's (Versluis & Papies, 2016) and that healthier shopping means buying less high-calorie snacks (Papies et al., 2014). In other settings, however, the healthy behavior may not always be obvious, and individuals with less nutrition knowledge, often associated with a lower level of education, may not be able to identify them well (e.g., Parmenter, Waller, & Wardle, 2000; Wardle, Parmenter, & Waller, 2000). In addition, healthy options may simply not be available. Corner shops, kiosks at public transport stations, and vending machines, for example, are notorious for providing only unhealthy food choices. In those cases, priming a health goal is less likely to be effective, since the only healthy alternative would be to refrain from purchasing any food at all. Similarly, priming alcohol reduction is more likely to be effective if non-alcoholic alternatives are available and are reasonably attractive.

Summary. In sum, an effective application of health goal primes should identify a motivated target group, and should activate their specific reasons to pursue the goal with effective cues that attract attention close to the decision point. In addition, the primed individual needs to know which goal-directed behaviors can be performed in order to pursue the health goal, and needs to have access to such a behavior. This way, a situational cue will most likely activate the situated conceptualization of a healthy goal-directed behavior, leading to healthier behavior as a result. These principles have been illustrated at the examples of healthy eating behavior and reducing alcohol consumption. Their implications, however, also

extend to other health behaviors such as biking to work, increasing fluid intake, getting enough sleep, and participating in screening programs.

These principles for the application of health goal primes show that goal primes cannot act by themselves. Rather, their application needs to be supported by motivation, by knowledge about health behaviors, and by external circumstances that make goal pursuit possible. In other words, a goal prime will only affect a motivated individual who knows how to pursue a health goal and has the opportunity to do so. Thus, just like other cueing interventions, goal primes rely on the foundations laid by health education and by smart policies that put in place the structural conditions for healthy goal pursuit (see also Hawkes et al., 2015; Marteau et al., 2011; Story, Kaphingst, Robinson-O'Brien, & Glanz, 2008).

Discussion

Goal priming is a relatively novel intervention tool to improve the nonconscious regulation of health behavior. Typically, our temptation-rich living environments activate enjoyment rather than health goals, and thus easily lead to unhealthy behaviors, even outside conscious awareness. As a result, the effect of conscious health intentions on behavior is weaker than previously assumed, and intentions have only limited effectiveness for changing health behavior. To improve the nonconscious regulation of health behavior, especially in tempting situations, it is suggested here that one can either use training interventions to change people's situated conceptualizations, or use cueing interventions to change which situated conceptualizations are activated by situational cues. Health goal priming is a type of cueing intervention that activates a health goal in a tempting situation and thus facilitates behavior in line with the health goal.

Based on the framework and the findings presented here, a number of important issues for further research emerge. First of all, an important question is whether being exposed to health goal primes repeatedly leads to habituation, such that the primes no longer attract attention and therefore cease to affect behavior. Possibly, this could be prevented by

presenting primes in such a way that they keep attracting attention, for example by varying their timing, location, or visual features. In addition, being primed repeatedly and performing the primed behavior consistently in the same context might lead to healthy habit formation (Lally & Gardner, 2013), and thus to beneficial long-term effects of repeated goal priming. Future research should examine whether habit formation can indeed result from goal primes, and whether this could precede potential habituation effects.

Turning healthy actions into a habit is a desirable target for behaviour change interventions. Given their well-entrenched and situated cognitive structures, habits allow us to perform a variety of behaviors in our daily lives in an efficient manner, without requiring conscious intentions and awareness. However, noting this feature of habits also warrants a brief discussion of some differences between the effects of cueing a habitual response, and cueing a goal. Typically, a goal is associated with a number of means that can be used to reach it (Kruglanski et al., 2002). As a result, when an external cue activates a goal, this can in turn activate any of its means for goal pursuit, depending on the context, and thus lead to a variety of behavioral outcomes. In contrast, an external cue that is associated with a habitual response is likely to trigger that specific response, so that a specific habitual behavior will be pursued. Indeed, habits have typically been defined and studied as responses that are cued by a certain context (e.g., snacking when watching TV; Aarts & Dijksterhuis, 2000; Gardner, 2015; Wood & Neal, 2007), whereas goals can be representations of relatively concrete or abstract states that can be pursued flexibly in a variety of contexts (e.g., fitting one's clothes; being healthy). Research on nonconscious goal pursuit has identified a variety of cognitive processes that make this flexible goal pursuit possible, for example maintaining the goal representation active in working memory over extended periods of time to facilitate goal pursuit when the opportunity arises, and increasing the salience and positive valence of means for goal pursuit that one perceives in the environment (see Papies & Aarts, 2016, for a review). Thus, while habits and nonconscious goal pursuit share features of automaticity, there are important

differences in the effects of cueing them on behavior, especially in dynamic contexts. In the context of the current framework of situated interventions, it is important to note that if repeated goal priming leads to healthy habits, this would mean that a cueing intervention has in fact changed existing cognitive structures.

Clearly, further research also needs to be done to examine the scalability of health goal priming for larger interventions. In contrast to most training interventions, goal priming is integrated into the specific setting where the targeted health behavior takes place. Therefore, goal priming is not dependent on extended training interactions, saving time and resources. At the same time, as proposed in the goal priming principles outlined above, goal priming effects may remain limited to motivated target groups, which reduces their large-scale effectiveness (see also Forwood, Ahern, Hollands, Ng, & Marteau, 2015). Future studies should test this particular principle of goal priming as an intervention tool more systematically, and should also test the other principles for goal priming interventions outlined here.

Recently, dual-process models, specifying impulsive vs. reflective mechanisms underlying behavior, have increasingly been applied to health behavior (e.g., Gardner, 2015; Hofmann et al., 2008; Wiers et al., 2013). The current analysis of the role of situated conceptualizations in guiding behavior outside of conscious awareness resembles the impulsive processes proposed by such models in important ways. Both frameworks predict, for example, that external cues can trigger impulsive responses through associative processes based on previous experiences (Hofmann et al., 2008; Strack & Deutsch, 2004). In addition, both analyses predict that nonconscious or impulsive processes are more likely to affect behavior when habits are strong or when the capacity to consciously regulate behavior is limited by internal or external circumstances (Hofmann et al., 2008; Webb & Sheeran, 2006). An important and unique feature of the current framework, however, is that goal-directed behavior, including the pursuit of long-term health goals, can result automatically from situated conceptualizations in similar ways as the pursuit of short-term hedonic rewards. Indeed, the

fact that goal pursuit can be triggered and executed without effort and conscious awareness is what makes health goal priming as an intervention tool possible and promising. Future research might seek to establish whether there are structural differences in the situated representations of short-term hedonic versus long-term investment goals that render different mechanisms in their pursuit likely (as dual-process models would predict), or whether structural similarities dominate (as the current framework would predict; see also Papies & Barsalou, 2015).

Conclusion

Research in social and health psychology over the past decades has identified the limits of conscious intentions for behavior change. As a next step, psychological research on situated cognition and on nonconscious motivational routes to behavior should inform the development of intervention tools in health psychology, in order to tackle some of the behavioral challenges posed by our temptation-rich living environments. Health goal priming is one such tool that naturally emerges from research in nonconscious self-regulation, and that – if applied in line with underlying principles derived from research findings – may benefit researchers and practitioners attempting to improve health behavior regulation.

References

- Aarts, H., & Dijksterhuis, A. (2000). Habits as knowledge structures: Automaticity in goal-directed behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78*, 53–63.
- Adriaanse, M. A., Gollwitzer, P. M., De Ridder, D. T. D., de Wit, J. B. F., & Kroese, F. M. (2011). Breaking Habits With Implementation Intentions: A Test of Underlying Processes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211399102>
- Anschutz, D. J., Van Strien, T., & Engels, R. C. M. E. (2011). Exposure to slim images in mass media: Television commercials as reminders of restriction in restrained eaters. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 1*(S), 48–59. <http://doi.org/10.1037/2160-4134.1.S.48>

- Bargh, J. A., & Chartrand, T. L. (2000). The mind in the middle: A practical guide to priming and automaticity research. In H. T. Reis (Ed.), (2000). *Handbook of research methods in social and personality psychology* (pp. 253–285). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Bargh, J. A., & Morsella, E. (2008). The Unconscious Mind. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 3(1), 73–79. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6916.2008.00064.x>
- Barsalou, L. W. (2009). Simulation, situated conceptualization, and prediction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1521), 1281–1289.
- Barsalou, L. W. (2015). Situated Conceptualization: Theory and Application. In *Foundations of embodied cognition*. East Sussex, UK: Psychology Press.
- Boland, W. A., Connell, P. M., & Vallen, B. (2013). Time of day effects on the regulation of food consumption after activation of health goals. *Appetite*, 70, 47–52.
- Brunner, T. A. (2010). How weight-related cues affect food intake in a modeling situation. *Appetite*, 55(3), 507–511. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.08.018>
- Brunner, T. A., & Siegrist, M. (2012). Reduced food intake after exposure to subtle weight-related cues. *Appetite*, 58(3), 1109–1112. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.03.010>
- Bucher, T., Siegrist, M., & van der Horst, K. (2014). Vegetable variety: an effective strategy to increase vegetable choice in children. *Public Health Nutrition*, 17(06), 1232–1236.
- Bucher, T., van der Horst, K., & Siegrist, M. (2011). Improvement of meal composition by vegetable variety. *Public Health Nutrition*, 14(08), 1357–1363.
- Buckland, N. J., Finlayson, G., Edge, R., & Hetherington, M. M. (2014). Resistance reminders: Dieters reduce energy intake after exposure to diet-congruent food images compared to control non-food images. *Appetite*, 73, 189–196. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2013.10.022>
- Buckland, N. J., Finlayson, G., & Hetherington, M. M. (2013a). Pre-exposure to diet-congruent food reduces energy intake in restrained dieting women. *Eating Behaviors*, 14(3), 249–254.
- Buckland, N. J., Finlayson, G., & Hetherington, M. M. (2013b). Slimming starters. Intake of a diet-congruent food reduces meal intake in active dieters. *Appetite*, 71, 430–437.

- Chartrand, T. L., & Bargh, J. A. (1996). Automatic activation of impression formation and memorization goals: Nonconscious goal priming reproduces effects of explicit task instructions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*(3), 464–478. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.71.3.464>
- Chetty, R., Looney, A., & Kroft, K. (2007). *Saliency and Taxation: Theory and Evidence* (Working Paper No. 13330). National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from <http://www.nber.org/papers/w13330>
- Custers, R., & Aarts, H. (2005). Positive affect as implicit motivator: On the nonconscious operation of behavioral goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *89*(2), 129–142.
- Custers, R., & Aarts, H. (2010). The Unconscious Will: How the Pursuit of Goals Operates Outside of Conscious Awareness. *Science*, *329*(5987), 47–50. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188595>
- Dayan, E., & Bar-Hillel, M. (2011). Nudge to nobesity II: Menu positions influence food orders. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *6*(4), 333–342.
- Dijksterhuis, A., & Aarts, H. (2010). Goals, Attention, and (Un)Consciousness. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *61*(1), 467–490. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100445>
- Dijksterhuis, A., Smith, P. K., van Baaren, R. B., & Wigboldus, D. H. J. (2005). The unconscious consumer: Effects of environment on consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *15*(3), 193–202.
- Fishbach, A., Friedman, R. S., & Kruglanski, A. W. (2003). Leading us not unto temptation: Momentary allurements elicit overriding goal activation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *84*(2), 296–309.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (2011). *Predicting and Changing Behavior: The Reasoned Action Approach*. Taylor & Francis.
- Forwood, S. E., Ahern, A. L., Hollands, G. J., Ng, Y.-L., & Marteau, T. M. (2015). Priming healthy eating. You can't prime all the people all of the time. *Appetite*, *89*, 93–102.

- Gardner, B. (2015). A review and analysis of the use of “habit” in understanding, predicting and influencing health-related behaviour. *Health Psychology Review, 9*(3), 277–295.
- Geier, A. B., Rozin, P., & Doros, G. (2006). Unit Bias A New Heuristic That Helps Explain the Effect of Portion Size on Food Intake. *Psychological Science, 17*(6), 521–525.
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Brandstätter, V. (1997). Implementation intentions and effective goal pursuit. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 73*(1), 186–199.
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Implementation intentions and goal achievement: A meta-analysis of effects and processes. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (pp. 69–119). San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Hanson, A., & Sullivan, R. (2015). Incidence and Salience of Alcohol Taxes Do Consumers Overreact? *Public Finance Review, 109*1142115591204. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1091142115591204>
- Harrell, A. (2012). Do religious cognitions promote prosociality? *Rationality and Society, 24*(4), 463–482. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1043463112463930>
- Hart, W., & Albarracín, D. (2009). The effects of chronic achievement motivation and achievement primes on the activation of achievement and fun goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 97*(6), 1129–1141. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0017146>
- Hawkes, C., Smith, T. G., Jewell, J., Wardle, J., Hammond, R. A., Friel, S., ... Kain, J. (2015). Smart food policies for obesity prevention. *The Lancet, 385*(9985), 2410–2421.
- Herman, C. P., & Polivy, J. (1980). Restrained eating. In A. J. Stunkard (Ed.), *Obesity* (pp. 208–225). Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Hofmann, W., Deutsch, R., Lancaster, K., & Banaji, M. R. (2010). Cooling the heat of temptation: Mental self-control and the automatic evaluation of tempting stimuli. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 40*(1), 17–25. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.708>
- Hofmann, W., Friese, M., & Wiers, R. W. (2008). Impulsive versus reflective influences on health behavior: A theoretical framework and empirical review. *Health Psychology Review, 2*(2), 111–137.

- Holland, R. W., Aarts, H., & Langendam, D. (2006). Breaking and creating habits on the working floor: A field-experiment on the power of implementation intentions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 42*(6), 776–783.
- Hollands, G. J., Marteau, T. M., & Fletcher, P. C. (2016). Non-Conscious Processes in Changing Health-Related Behaviour: A Conceptual Analysis and Framework. *Health Psychology Review, 1*–28.
- Hollands, G. J., Prestwich, A., & Marteau, T. M. (2011). Using Aversive Images to Enhance Healthy Food Choices and Implicit Attitudes: An Experimental Test of Evaluative Conditioning. *Health Psychology, 30*(2), 195–203. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0022261>
- Hollands, G. J., Shemilt, I., Marteau, T. M., Jebb, S. A., Kelly, M. P., Nakamura, R., ... Ogilvie, D. (2013). Altering micro-environments to change population health behaviour: towards an evidence base for choice architecture interventions. *BMC Public Health, 13*(1), 1218.
- Hollands, G. J., Shemilt, I., Marteau, T. M., Jebb, S. A., Lewis, H. B., Wei, Y., ... Ogilvie, D. (2015). Portion, package or tableware size for changing selection and consumption of food, alcohol and tobacco. In *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Ltd. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.proxy.library.uu.nl/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD011045.pub2/abstract>
- Janz, N. K., & Becker, M. H. (1984). The Health Belief Model: A Decade Later. *Health Educ Behav, 11*(1), 1–47.
- Jenkins, K. T., & Tapper, K. (2014). Resisting chocolate temptation using a brief mindfulness strategy. *British Journal of Health Psychology, 19*(3), 509–522. <http://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12050>
- Keesman, M., Papies, E., Vermeent, P. C. S., Aarts, H., & Hafner, M. (2015). Consumption simulations induce salivary responses to food cues. *Under Review*.
- Keller, C., Markert, F., & Bucher, T. (2015). Nudging product choices: The effect of position change on snack bar choice. *Food Quality and Preference, 41*, 41–43.
- Kerameas, K., Vartanian, L. R., Peter, C., & Polivy, J. (2015). The effect of portion size and unit size on food intake: Unit bias or segmentation effect? *Health Psychology, 34*(6), 670–676.

- Kok, G., Gottlieb, N. H., Peters, G.-J. Y., Mullen, P. D., Parcel, G. S., Ruiters, R. A. C., ... Bartholomew, L. K. (2015). A taxonomy of behaviour change methods: an Intervention Mapping approach. *Health Psychology Review, 0*(0), 1–16. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2015.1077155>
- Kruglanski, A. W., Shah, J. Y., Fishbach, A., Friedman, R., Chun, W. Y., & Sleeth Kepler, D. (2002). A theory of goal systems. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (pp. 331–378). San Diego, CA: Academic Press, Inc.
- Lacaille, J., Ly, J., Zacchia, N., Bourkas, S., Glaser, E., & Knäuper, B. (2014). The effects of three mindfulness skills on chocolate cravings. *Appetite*. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.01.072>
- Lally, P., & Gardner, B. (2013). Promoting habit formation. *Health Psychology Review, 7*(sup1), S137–S158. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2011.603640>
- Lee, K. K., Perry, A. S., Wolf, S. A., Agarwal, R., Rosenblum, R., Fischer, S., ... Silver, L. D. (2012). Promoting Routine Stair Use: Evaluating the Impact of a Stair Prompt Across Buildings. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 42*(2), 136–141.
- Marteau, T. M., Hollands, G. J., & Fletcher, P. C. (2012). Changing Human Behavior to Prevent Disease: The Importance of Targeting Automatic Processes. *Science, 337*(6101), 1492–1495.
- Marteau, T. M., Ogilvie, D., Roland, M., Suhrcke, M., & Kelly, M. P. (2011). Judging nudging: can nudging improve population health? *BMJ, 342*(jan25 3), d228–d228.
- Ouellette, J. A., & Wood, W. (1998). Habit and Intention in Everyday Life: The Multiple Processes by Which Past Behavior Predicts Future Behavior. *Psychological Bulletin July 1998, 124*(1), 54–74.
- Papies, E. K. (2013). Tempting food words activate eating simulations. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 838.
- Papies, E. K. (2016). Goal priming as a situated intervention tool. *Under Review*.
- Papies, E. K., & Aarts, H. (2016). Automatic self-regulation: From habit to goal pursuit. In *Handbook of self regulation: Research, theory, and applications* (3rd ed). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Papies, E. K., Aarts, H., & de Vries, N. K. (2009). Planning is for doing: Implementation intentions go beyond the mere creation of goal-directed associations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 45*(5), 1148–1151.

- Papies, E. K., & Barsalou, L. W. (2015a). A grounded theory of desire and motivated behavior.
- Papies, E. K., & Barsalou, L. W. (2015b). Grounding Desire and Motivated Behavior: A theoretical framework and review of empirical evidence. In *The Psychology of Desire* (pp. 36–60). New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Papies, E. K., Barsalou, L. W., & Custers, R. (2012). Mindful Attention Prevents Mindless Impulses. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *3*(3), 291–299.
- Papies, E. K., & Hamstra, P. (2010). Goal priming and eating behavior: Enhancing self-regulation by environmental cues. *Health Psychology*, *29*(4), 384–388. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0019877>
- Papies, E. K., Potjes, I., Keesman, M., Schwinghammer, S., & van Koningsbruggen, G. M. (2014). Using health primes to reduce unhealthy snack purchases among overweight consumers in a grocery store. *International Journal of Obesity*, *38*(4), 597–602. <http://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2013.136>
- Papies, E. K., Pronk, T. M., Keesman, M., & Barsalou, L. W. (2015). The benefits of simply observing: Mindful attention modulates the link between motivation and behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *108*(1), 148–170. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0038032>
- Papies, E. K., Stroebe, W., & Aarts, H. (2008a). Healthy Cognition: Processes of Self-Regulatory Success in Restrained Eating. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *34*(9), 1290–1300.
- Papies, E. K., Stroebe, W., & Aarts, H. (2008b). The allure of forbidden food: On the role of attention in self-regulation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *44*(5), 1283–1292.
- Papies, E. K., & Veling, H. (2013). Healthy dining: Subtle diet reminders at the point of purchase increase low-calorie food choices among both chronic and current dieters. *Appetite*, *61*, 1–7.
- Parmenter, K., Waller, J., & Wardle, J. (2000). Demographic variation in nutrition knowledge in England. *Health Education Research*, *15*(2), 163–174. <http://doi.org/10.1093/her/15.2.163>
- Rogers, R. W., & Prentice-Dunn, S. (1997). Protection motivation theory. In *Handbook of health behavior research 1: Personal and social determinants* (pp. 113–132). New York, NY, US: Plenum Press.

- Rozin, P., Scott, S., Dingley, M., Urbanek, J. K., Jiang, H., & Kaltenbach, M. (2011). Nudge to nobesity I: Minor changes in accessibility decrease food intake. *Judgment and Decision Making, 6*(4), 323–332.
- Schoenmakers, T. M., de Bruin, M., Lux, I. F. M., Goertz, A. G., Van Kerkhof, D. H. A. T., & Wiers, R. W. (2010). Clinical effectiveness of attentional bias modification training in abstinent alcoholic patients. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 109*(1-3), 30–36.
- Shah, J. Y., Friedman, R., & Kruglanski, A. W. (2002). Forgetting all else: On the antecedents and consequences of goal shielding. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83*(6), 1261–1280.
- Shariff, A. F., Willard, A. K., Andersen, T., & Norenzayan, A. (2016). Religious Priming A Meta-Analysis With a Focus on Prosociality. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 20*(1), 27–48.
- Sheeran, P., Aarts, H., Custers, R., Ravis, A., Webb, T. L., & Cooke, R. (2005). The goal-dependent automaticity of drinking habits. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 44*(1), 47–63.
- Sheeran, P., Gollwitzer, P. M., & Bargh, J. A. (2013). Nonconscious processes and health. *Health Psychology, 32*(5), 460–473. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0029203>
- Simmons, W. K., Martin, A., & Barsalou, L. W. (2005). Pictures of appetizing foods activate gustatory cortices for taste and reward. *Cerebral Cortex, 15*(10), 1602–1608.
- Sniehotta, F. F., Presseau, J., & Araújo-Soares, V. (2014). Time to retire the theory of planned behaviour. *Health Psychology Review, 8*(1), 1–7.
- Soler, R. E., Leeks, K. D., Buchanan, L. R., Brownson, R. C., Heath, G. W., & Hopkins, D. H. (2010). Point-of-Decision Prompts to Increase Stair Use: A Systematic Review Update. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 38*(2, Supplement), S292–S300.
- Spanos, S., Kenda, A. S., & Vartanian, L. R. (2015). Can serving-size labels reduce the portion-size effect? A pilot study. *Eating Behaviors, 16*, 40–42.

- Stöckli, S., Stämpfli, A. E., Messner, C., & Brunner, T. A. (2016). An (un)healthy poster: When environmental cues affect consumers' food choices at vending machines. *Appetite, 96*, 368–374. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.09.034>
- Story, M., Kaphingst, K. M., Robinson-O'Brien, R., & Glanz, K. (2008). Creating Healthy Food and Eating Environments: Policy and Environmental Approaches. *Annual Review of Public Health, 29*(1), 253–272. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090926>
- Strack, F., & Deutsch, R. (2004). Reflective and impulsive determinants of social behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 8*(3), 220–247.
- Stroebe, W., Mensink, W., Aarts, H., Schut, H., & Kruglanski, A. W. (2008). Why dieters fail: Testing the goal conflict model of eating. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44*(1), 26–36.
- Stroebe, W., van Koningsbruggen, G. M., Papies, E. K., & Aarts, H. (2013). Why Most Dieters Fail But Some Succeed: A Goal Conflict Model of Eating Behavior. *Psychological Review, 120*(1), 110–138.
- van der Laan, L. N., Papies, E. K., Hooge, I. T. C., & Smeets, P. A. M. (2016). Goal-directed visual attention drives health goal priming: An eye-tracking experiment. *under review*
- Veling, H., Aarts, H., & Papies, E. K. (2011). Using stop signals to inhibit chronic dieters' responses toward palatable foods. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 49*(11), 771–780.
- Veling, H., van Koningsbruggen, G. M., Aarts, H., & Stroebe, W. (2014). Targeting impulsive processes of eating behavior via the internet. Effects on body weight. *Appetite, 78*, 102–109.
- Veltkamp, M., Aarts, H., & Custers, R. (2008). On the emergence of deprivation-reducing behaviors: Subliminal priming of behavior representations turns deprivation into motivation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44*(3), 866–873. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2007.08.005>
- Versluis, I., & Papies, E. K. (2016). Eating less from bigger packs: Preventing the pack size effect with diet primes. *Appetite*, in press.

- Versluis, I., Papias, E. K., & Marchiori, D. (2015). Preventing the pack size effect: Exploring the effectiveness of pictorial and non-pictorial serving size recommendations. *Appetite, 87*, 116–126. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.12.097>
- Wansink, B., & Payne, C. R. (2007). Counting bones: environmental cues that decrease food intake. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 104*(1), 273–276. <http://doi.org/10.2466/pms.104.1.273-276>
- Wardle, J., Parmenter, K., & Waller, J. (2000). Nutrition knowledge and food intake. *Appetite, 34*(3), 269–275. <http://doi.org/10.1006/appe.1999.0311>
- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Does Changing Behavioral Intentions Engender Behavior Change? A Meta-Analysis of the Experimental Evidence. *Psychological Bulletin March 2006, 132*(2), 249–268.
- Weingarten, E., Chen, Q., McAdams, M., Yi, J., Hepler, J., & Albarracin, D. (2015). From Primed Concepts to Action: A Meta-Analysis of the Behavioral Effects of Incidentally Presented Words. *Psychological Bulletin*.
- Wiers, R. W., Eberl, C., Rinck, M., Becker, E. S., & Lindenmeyer, J. (2011). Retraining Automatic Action Tendencies Changes Alcoholic Patients' Approach Bias for Alcohol and Improves Treatment Outcome. *Psychological Science*. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611400615>
- Wiers, R. W., Gladwin, T. E., Hofmann, W., Salemink, E., & Ridderinkhof, K. R. (2013). Cognitive Bias Modification and Cognitive Control Training in Addiction and Related Psychopathology Mechanisms, Clinical Perspectives, and Ways Forward. *Clinical Psychological Science, 2*167702612466547. <http://doi.org/10.1177/2167702612466547>
- Wiers, R. W., Rinck, M., Kordts, R., Houben, K., & Strack, F. (2010). Retraining automatic action-tendencies to approach alcohol in hazardous drinkers. *Addiction, 105*(2), 279–287.
- Wood, W., & Neal, D. T. (2007). A New Look at Habits and the Habit-Goal Interface. *Psychological Review October 2007, 114*(4), 843–863. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.114.4.843>
- Wryobeck, J., & Chen, Y. (2003). Using Priming Techniques to Facilitate Health Behaviours. *Clinical Psychologist, 7*(2), 105–108. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13284200410001707553>

Table 1. Five principles for the effective application of health goal primes, and examples of how to apply these principles in interventions to improve nonconscious regulation of health behavior.

Principle	Examples of applications in previous research	Implications for interventions
1. Target individuals who value the primed goals	Primes targeted at individuals motivated towards dieting (e.g., Papies & Hamstra, 2010) or achievement (Hart & Albarracín, 2009)	Identify motivated target group, e.g., individuals motivated to curb alcohol consumption, increase physical activity, increase fluid intake.
2. Tap into the right reasons	Primes referring to slim figure (Papies et al., 2014) or to being fit (Wryobeck & Chen, 2003)	Identify what specific, possibly long-term motivation underlies goals of target group, e.g., productivity, physical strength, physical appearance, longevity.
3. Use effective cues	Primes referring to positive outcomes to be achieved (rather than negative outcomes to be avoided or neutral concepts; Harrell, 2012; Papies et al., 2014)	Identify positive cues strongly associated with goal among target group, e.g., positive displays of physical strength, healthy skin, healthy older age.
4. Attract attention at the right time	Primes integrated into restaurant menus (Papies & Veling, 2013) or at presented at beginning of grocery shopping episode (Papies et al., 2014)	Identify at which point critical goal-relevant decision is made in targeted setting, e.g., when entering workplace cafeteria, when deciding on whether to bike or drive to work, in the soft drink aisle of the grocery store.
5. Ensure that health goal pursuit is possible	Targeted individuals aware of which behaviors are in line with health goal, such as ordering salad (Papies & Veling, 2013) and avoiding high-calorie snack purchases (Papies et al., 2014).	Ensure knowledge and availability of healthy options, such as fruit, water, stairs, bike paths.

Figure 1.

A framework for situated interventions that change the effects of situational cues on health behavior: changing features of critical situations through *cueing interventions*, or changing situated conceptualizations through *training interventions*.

